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## Linear Systems

## 1 Linear Systems

### 1.1 Order-Two Formalism

## Motivation

Consider the linear system of two equations containing two unknowns $x$ and $y$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a x+b y=e \\
& c x+d y=f
\end{aligned}
$$
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where all coefficients are assumed nonzero. One way to solve the system begins by eliminating $y$, which means to multiply the top and bottom equations by $d, b$, respectively, and add the results:

$$
x(a d-b c)=d e-b f
$$

Similarly, we can eliminate $x$ to end up with

$$
y(a d-b c)=a f-e c,
$$

and it is now trivial to solve for $x$ and $y$.
If it just so happens that $a d-b c=0$, the equations for $x$ and $y$ become indeterminate, meanwhile implying $d e=b f$ and $a f=e c$. To visualize this, treat each equation as a separate line

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1} & =-\frac{a}{b} x+\frac{e}{b} \\
y_{2} & =-\frac{c}{d} x+\frac{f}{d},
\end{aligned}
$$

having respective slopes $m_{1}=-a / b, m_{2}=-c / d$. Take the difference of slopes to find

$$
m_{1}-m_{2}=-\frac{a}{b}+\frac{c}{d}=\frac{1}{b d}(-a d+b c)=0,
$$

implying the lines are parallel. Moreover, $d e=b f$ causes the lines to have the same $y$-intercept, thus the
two lines $y_{1}, y_{2}$ are identical. This reduces the number of equations in the system back down to one equation that has an infinite number of solutions on the line $y_{1,2}$. In the special case that $e=0$ or $f=0$, the lines $y_{1,2}$ are parallel but non-overlapping, in which case no solutions exist at all.

Only when $a d-b c$ is nonzero does the line $y_{1}$ cross $y_{2}$ somewhere in the Cartesian plane at one point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. The intersection point is the 'solution' to the system of equations.

## Matrix Formulation

Start with the same two-dimensional system and relabel all coefficients such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11} x_{1}+A_{12} x_{2}=b_{1}  \tag{1.1}\\
& A_{21} x_{1}+A_{22} x_{2}=b_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

admitting a clean matrix representation

$$
A \vec{x}=\vec{b}
$$

or

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \\
b_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## Determinant

The classification of solutions $\vec{x}$ depends on the quantity $A_{11} A_{22}-A_{12} A_{21}$, called the determinant of the matrix $A$ :

$$
\operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12}  \tag{1.2}\\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right]=A_{11} A_{22}-A_{12} A_{21}
$$

If $\operatorname{det} A$ is nonzero, the vector $\vec{x}$ solves the system. On the other hand, any matrix with $\operatorname{det} A=0$ is called singular, having a non-obvious number of solutions (zero or infinite, depending on $\vec{b}$ ). For the non-singular case $\operatorname{det} A \neq 0$, the solution to the system is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A}\left(A_{22} b_{1}-A_{12} b_{2}\right) \\
& x_{2}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A}\left(A_{11} b_{2}-A_{21} b_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cramer's Rule

In the above solutions for $x_{1}, x_{2}$, observe that the quantities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{22} b_{1}-A_{12} b_{2} \\
& A_{11} b_{2}-A_{21} b_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

are themselves the determinants of new matrices $C_{1}$, $C_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1} & A_{12} \\
b_{2} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{\operatorname{det} C_{1}}{\operatorname{det} A} \\
& x_{2}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & b_{1} \\
A_{21} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{\operatorname{det} C_{2}}{\operatorname{det} A}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, the solution to the two-dimensional linear system (1.1) with nonzero determinant is

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{j} & =\frac{\operatorname{det} C_{j}}{\operatorname{det} A}  \tag{1.3}\\
j & =1,2,
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1} & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1} & A_{12} \\
b_{2} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{1.4}\\
C_{2} & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & b_{1} \\
A_{21} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The 'recipe' that got us to this point is called Cramer's Rule: if $\operatorname{det} A \neq 0$, there's a solution to the system.

### 1.2 Order-N Formalism

Generalizing the $2 \times 2$ linear system to have $M$ equations and $N$ unknowns, we begin with

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A_{11} x_{1}+A_{12} x_{2}+A_{13} x_{3}+\cdots+A_{1 N} x_{N}=b_{1} \\
A_{21} x_{1}+A_{22} x_{2}+A_{23} x_{3}+\cdots+A_{2 N} x_{N}=b_{2} \\
A_{31} x_{1}+A_{32} x_{2}+A_{33} x_{3}+\cdots+A_{3 N} x_{N}=b_{3} \\
\cdots  \tag{1.5}\\
A_{M 1} x_{1}+A_{M 2} x_{2}+A_{M 3} x_{3}+\cdots+A_{M N} x_{N}=b_{M},
\end{array}
$$

admitting the matrix representation $A \vec{x}=\vec{b}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & \cdots & A_{1 N}  \tag{1.6}\\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & \cdots & A_{2 N} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & \cdots & A_{3 N} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
A_{M 1} & A_{M 2} & A_{M 3} & \cdots & A_{M N}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
\cdots \\
x_{N}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3} \\
\cdots \\
b_{M}
\end{array}\right]
$$

At this stage, the relationship between $M$ and $N$ indicates the quality of solutions (if any) to the system. If $N>M$, the system is said to be underdetermined, and there is not enough information to choose a solution. On the other hand, if $M>N$, the system is over-determined, and there may be zero, or perhaps infinite solutions.

In order to proceed, the matrix $A$ is taken to be square with $M=N$. Then, the recipe for solving a two-dimensional linear system extrapolates to $N$ dimensions. Although its not (yet) straightforward how to calculate the determinant of $A$, we can still
use Cramer's rule to write down the components of the solution vector $\vec{x}$, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{j} & =\frac{\operatorname{det} C_{j}}{\operatorname{det} A}  \tag{1.7}\\
j & =1,2,3, \ldots, N
\end{align*}
$$

The matrix $C_{j}$ is constructed by starting with $A$ and replacing the $j$ th column with $\vec{b}$. That is:

$$
C_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & b_{1 j} & \cdots & A_{1 N}  \tag{1.8}\\
A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & b_{2 j} & \cdots & A_{2 N} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & \cdots & b_{3 j} & \cdots & A_{3 N} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
A_{N 1} & A_{N 2} & \cdots & b_{N j} & \cdots & A_{N N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 1.3 Row Operations

An important tool set called row operations can be applied to matrices. To illustrate these, consider again the $N$-dimensional linear system (1.5). The 'game' we play is to find ways to manipulate the system of equations without losing any information. Without much trouble, one finds the allowed operations to be:

- Exchange two rows.
- Multiply a row by a (nonzero) scalar.
- Replace a row by the sum of itself and another row.

For the sake of assigning symbols to the above row operations, let us denote row exchanges as $E$, scalar multiplication as $M$, and a row replacement as $R$. Using a four-dimensional square matrix as an example, row operations explicitly look like:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{23} A=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\
A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44}
\end{array}\right] \\
& M_{\alpha}^{2} A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\
\alpha A_{31} & \alpha A_{32} & \alpha A_{33} & \alpha A_{34} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\
A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44}
\end{array}\right] \\
& R_{3}^{2} A= \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\
A_{31}+A_{21} & A_{32}+A_{22} & A_{33}+A_{23} & A_{34}+A_{24} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\
A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the subscripts and superscripts on the symbols $E, M, R$ are mere convenience of notation, oftenomitted.

## 2 Determinants

The determinant is a scalar calculated from the components of a square $(N \times N)$ matrix $A$. Of the many things the determinant can tell us, we've already seen that $\operatorname{det} A$ indicates the 'quality' of solutions to a linear system. Namely, if the determinant is nonzero, the linear system has a solution given by Cramer's rule. The determinant of a two-dimensional square matrix is given by 1.2 .

### 2.1 Three Dimensions

Consider the three-dimensional linear system

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11} x_{1}+A_{12} x_{2}+A_{13} x_{3}=b_{1}  \tag{1.9}\\
& A_{21} x_{1}+A_{22} x_{2}+A_{23} x_{3}=b_{2} \\
& A_{31} x_{1}+A_{32} x_{2}+A_{33} x_{3}=b_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and we're interested in the determinant of the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Labeling each row $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$, respectively, we deploy row operations to (i) multiply $R_{2}$ by a factor of $A_{11} / A_{21}$, and then (ii) replace $R_{2}$ with $R_{2}-R_{1}$ :

$$
A \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\
0 & \frac{A_{11} A_{22}}{A_{21}}-A_{12} & \frac{A_{11} A_{23}}{A_{21}}-A_{13} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Next, (iii) multiply $R_{3}$ by a factor of $A_{11} / A_{31}$, and (iv) replace $R_{3}$ with $R_{3}-R_{1}$ :

$$
A \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\
0 & \frac{A_{11} A_{22}}{A_{2}}-A_{12} & \frac{A_{11} A_{23}}{A_{2}}-A_{13} \\
0 & \frac{A_{11} A_{32}}{A_{31}}-A_{12} & \frac{A_{11} A_{33}}{A_{31}}-A_{13}
\end{array}\right]
$$

With the matrix configured as such, observe that the 'important' information is crammed into the lower $2 \times 2$ portion of the transformed matrix. Accordingly, we deploy the determinant formula 1.2 to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} A \propto & \left(\frac{A_{11} A_{22}}{A_{21}}-A_{12}\right)\left(\frac{A_{11} A_{33}}{A_{31}}-A_{13}\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{A_{11} A_{23}}{A_{21}}-A_{13}\right)\left(\frac{A_{11} A_{32}}{A_{31}}-A_{12}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which after simplifying, becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} A\left(\frac{A_{21} A_{31}}{A_{11}}\right) \propto & A_{11}\left(A_{22} A_{33}-A_{32} A_{23}\right) \\
& -A_{12}\left(A_{21} A_{33}-A_{31} A_{23}\right) \\
& +A_{13}\left(A_{21} A_{32}-A_{31} A_{22}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Keepping in mind that the determinant of $A$ is a single number that characterizes the solutions to the system, it follows that the right-side quantity in the above contains all of the required information. It's also easy (enough) to see that exchanging two rows in the original $A$ will lead to the same final form of $\operatorname{det} A$, up to numerical factors and/or negative signs.

In conclusion, we take the the order-three determinant to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det} A= & A_{11}\left(A_{22} A_{33}-A_{32} A_{23}\right)  \tag{1.10}\\
& -A_{12}\left(A_{21} A_{23}-A_{31} A_{33}\right) \\
& +A_{13}\left(A_{21} A_{32}-A_{31} A_{22}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.2 Four Dimensions

Having witnessed the trick of performing row operations on an order-three square matrix $A$ to condense all relevant information into a $2 \times 2$ square sub-matrix, this should also work for higher-order matrices. Indeed, the order-four matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\
A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44}
\end{array}\right],
$$

permits a similar process to reduce the order of the problem. Doing so, the order-four determinant becomes the sum of four terms:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det} A= & A_{11} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\
A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\
A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44}
\end{array}\right]-A_{12} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{21} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\
A_{31} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\
A_{41} & A_{43} & A_{44}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{1.11}\\
& +A_{13} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{24} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{34} \\
A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{44}
\end{array}\right]-A_{14} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \\
A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43}
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.3 Sub-Matrix and Matrix Minor

Taking another look at the three- and fourdimensional determinant formulas, we see the right side contains the sum of several 'cross sections' of the original matrix, each having dimension $N-1$.

## Sub-Matrix

The sub-matrix $S_{j k}$ removes the $j$ th row and the $k$ th column from the original matrix $A$.

## Matrix Minor

The matrix minor, denoted $M_{j k}$ is the determinant of the sub-matrix $S_{j k}$. With matrix minor notation, equations (1.10, 1.11 can be written:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} A_{(3)} & =A_{11} M_{11}-A_{12} M_{12}+A_{13} M_{13} \\
\operatorname{det} A_{(4)} & =A_{11} M_{11}-A_{12} M_{12}+A_{13} M_{13}-A_{14} M_{14}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.4 N Dimensions

Using matrix minor notation, the three and fourdimensional determinant formulas suggest of how to handle the $N$-dimensional case. Formally, the procedure is to use row operations to condense down-anddright all of the information on the matrix. After the
dust settles, the general formula for the determinant of an order- $N$ matrix is remarkably simple:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { if } N=1: & \operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{det}\left[A_{11}\right] \\
\text { if } N>1: & \operatorname{det} A=\sum_{\substack{j \leq N \\
k=1}}^{N}(-1)^{j+k} A_{j k} M_{j k} \tag{1.12}
\end{array}
$$

Note too that the summation can take place over rows or columns, meaning

$$
\text { if } N>1: \quad \operatorname{det} A=\sum_{\substack{j \leq N \\ k=1}}^{N}(-1)^{j+k} A_{k j} M_{k j}
$$

also holds. Note that the variable $j$ is fixed at some integer less than $N$. Only the $k$-variable is summed over.

### 2.5 Properties

## Multiplication Rules

Readily shown from the properties of determinants are various multiplication rules. A scalar $\alpha$ multiplied by a matrix $A$ of dimension $N$ has the result

$$
\operatorname{det}(\alpha A)=\alpha^{N} \operatorname{det} A
$$

Meanwhile, for the product of two matrices $A, B$ :

$$
\operatorname{det}(A B)=\operatorname{det}(A) \operatorname{det}(B)
$$

## Row Operations

The row operations $E$ (row exchange), $M$ (multiply by scalar), $R$ (combine rows) have the following effect on the determinant:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(E A) & =-\operatorname{det} A \\
\operatorname{det}(M A) & =\alpha \operatorname{det} A \\
\operatorname{det}(R A) & =\operatorname{det} A
\end{aligned}
$$

## Transpose Rule

Interestingly but not surprisingly, the matrix and its transpose have the same determinant:

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{T}\right)=\operatorname{det} A
$$

## 3 Inverse Matrix

### 3.1 Definition

Given a square matrix $A$ of dimension $N$, there may exist a special matrix $A^{-1}$ that obeys the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-1} A=A A^{-1}=I \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{-1}$ is called the inverse matrix to $A$, and $I$ is the identity matrix of dimension $N$. The product of $A$ and its inverse, or vise versa, results in the identity matrix.

## Existence

For the notion of the inverse to make sense, the matrix $A$ must perform a one-to-one mapping of a vector $\vec{x}$ to a vector $\vec{b}$ as in $A \vec{x}=\vec{b}$. By multiplying $A^{-1}$ into both sides of $A \vec{x}=\vec{b}$, we end up with

$$
A^{-1} A \vec{x}=A^{-1} \vec{b}
$$

effectively 'solving' for the vector $\vec{x}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{x}=A^{-1} \vec{b} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Formula

To come up with a formula for the inverse of $A$, consider another matrix $B$ defined from the components $A_{j k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{j k}=(-1)^{j+k} M_{k j} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{k j}$ are the matrix minors of $A$. As innocent as it looks, 1.15 is quite 'computationally expensive', which means as $N$ grows, it requires preposterous efforts to calculate the $B$-matrix by hand.

To proceed, calculate the product $A B$ by matrix multiplication. Starting with the formula for matrix multiplication, and replacing the components of $B$ using 1.15, we find

$$
(A B)_{m n}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{m k} B_{k n}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{m k}(-1)^{k+n} M_{n k}
$$

In the case $m=n$, the above reduces to the formula for the determinant of $A$, namely (1.12). Any other case $m \neq n$ causes the right side to resolve to zero:

$$
(A B)_{m n}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{det} A & m=n \\ 0 & m \neq n\end{cases}
$$

In symbolic terms, the above reads

$$
A B=(\operatorname{det} A) I
$$

where by comparison to (1.13), suggests the combination $B / \operatorname{det} A$ is equal to the inverse of $A$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-1}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A} B \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Products

The inverse of the product of two matrices is equal to the product of the individual inverses in reversed order:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A B)^{-1}=B^{-1} A^{-1} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4 Cramer's Rule Derived

For a linear system of $N$ dimensions, we start with the dichotomy

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \vec{x} & =\vec{b} \\
\vec{x} & =A^{-1} \vec{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

where accounting for 1.16 , the 'solution' vector $\vec{x}$ is written

$$
\vec{x}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A} B \vec{b}
$$

or using index notation,

$$
x_{j}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A} \sum_{k=1}^{N} B_{j k} b_{k}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A} \sum_{k=1}^{N}(-1)^{j+k} M_{k j} b_{k}
$$

The product $M_{k j} b_{k}$ has the $k, j$ indices in 'reverse' order, in the sense that the calculation $M \vec{b}$ does not represent this situation. Instead, the sum constitutes the determinant of a matrix modified from $A$ such
that the $k$ th column is replaced by $\vec{b}$. If this situation sounds familiar, it precisely describes the matrix introduced as equation 1.8

$$
C_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & b_{1 j} & \cdots & A_{1 N} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & b_{2 j} & \cdots & A_{2 N} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & \cdots & b_{3 j} & \cdots & A_{3 N} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
A_{N 1} & A_{N 2} & \cdots & b_{N j} & \cdots & A_{N N}
\end{array}\right],
$$

and the above reduces to Cramer's rule 1.7 for the soltuion of the system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{j} & =\frac{\operatorname{det} C_{j}}{\operatorname{det} A} \\
j & =1,2,3, \ldots, N
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.5 Two Dimensions

Consider the two-dimensional matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

whose determinant is given by 1.2 . To calculate the inverse, begin with the $B$ matrix given by (1.15, coming out to

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{22} & -A_{12} \\
-A_{21} & A_{11}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then, by the inverse formula 1.16 , the inverse of the $2 \times 2$ matrix reads:

$$
A^{-1}=\frac{1}{A_{11} A_{22}-A_{12} A_{21}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{22} & -A_{12}  \tag{1.18}\\
-A_{21} & A_{11}
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 3.6 Three Dimensions

The three-dimensional matrix with

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

has a total of nine minors $M_{j k}$, readily readable from $A$. Constructing the matrix $B$ using

$$
B_{j k}=(-1)^{j+k} M_{k j}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{11}=(-1)^{2}\left(A_{22} A_{33}-A_{32} A_{23}\right) \\
& B_{12}=(-1)^{3}\left(A_{12} A_{33}-A_{32} A_{13}\right) \\
& B_{13}=(-1)^{4}\left(A_{12} A_{23}-A_{22} A_{13}\right) \\
& B_{21}=(-1)^{3}\left(A_{21} A_{33}-A_{31} A_{23}\right) \\
& B_{22}=(-1)^{4}\left(A_{11} A_{33}-A_{31} A_{13}\right) \\
& B_{23}=(-1)^{5}\left(A_{11} A_{23}-A_{21} A_{13}\right) \\
& B_{31}=(-1)^{4}\left(A_{21} A_{32}-A_{31} A_{22}\right) \\
& B_{32}=(-1)^{5}\left(A_{11} A_{32}-A_{31} A_{12}\right) \\
& B_{33}=(-1)^{6}\left(A_{11} A_{22}-A_{21} A_{12}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In matrix form, the above reads:

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(A_{22} A_{33}-A_{32} A_{23}\right) & -\left(A_{12} A_{33}-A_{32} A_{13}\right) & \left(A_{12} A_{23}-A_{22} A_{13}\right) \\
-\left(A_{21} A_{33}-A_{31} A_{23}\right) & \left(A_{11} A_{33}-A_{31} A_{13}\right) & -\left(A_{11} A_{23}-A_{21} A_{13}\right) \\
\left(A_{21} A_{32}-A_{31} A_{22}\right) & -\left(A_{11} A_{32}-A_{31} A_{12}\right) & \left(A_{11} A_{22}-A_{21} A_{12}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

With the matrix $B$ fully specified in terms of $A$, the inverse $A^{-1}$ is given by 1.16 , namely

$$
A^{-1}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A} B
$$

Note that $\operatorname{det} A$ was already calculated as equation 1.10 .

## 4 Special Matrices

larly

### 4.1 Transpose and Symmetry

## Transpose Matrix

Given a matrix $A$, there always exists the transpose of $A$, which swaps all rows for columns and vice versa. The transpose of a matrix $A$ is denoted $A^{T}$, particu-

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j k}^{T}=A_{k j} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Symmetric Matrix

A square matrix is said to be symmetric if the original matrix $A$ is equal to the transposed matrix $A^{T}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =A^{T}  \tag{1.20}\\
A_{j k} & =A_{k j}
\end{align*}
$$

## Anti-symmetric Matrix

A square matrix is said to be anti-symmetric if the original matrix $A$ is equal to the negative transposed matrix $A^{T}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =-A^{T}  \tag{1.21}\\
A_{j k} & =-A_{k j}
\end{align*}
$$

This is sometimes known as skew-symmetric.

## Orthogonal Matrix

A square matrix $A$ whose transpose $A^{T}$ is equal to the inverse $A^{-1}$ is called an orthogonal matrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{T}=A^{-1} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2 Role of Row Operations

A general $M \times N$ matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1 N} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2 N} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
A_{M 1} & A_{M 2} & \cdots & A_{M N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

can be reduced by any operations $E, M, R$ to produce a different matrix $A^{\prime}$ that contains the same information or similar information to $A$. This process can be applied sequentially to achieve various matrix forms cataloged below.

### 4.3 Triangular Forms

Square matrices with $M=N$ admit two special reduced forms called triangular forms.

## Upper Triangular Form

If (by row operations or otherwise) a square matrix has $A_{j k}=0$ when $j>k$, the form is called upper triangular:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1 n}  \tag{1.23}\\
0 & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2 n} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{n n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Lower Triangular Form

If a square matrix has $A_{j k}=0$ when $j<k$, the form is called lower triangular:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{1.24}\\
A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
A_{n 1} & A_{n 2} & \cdots & A_{n n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 4.4 Diagonal Form

If a square matrix has $A_{j k}=0$ when $j \neq k$, the form is called diagonal:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{1.25}\\
0 & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{n n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For any triangular or diagonal matrix $A$, the determinant is equal to the product of its diagonal entries:

$$
\operatorname{det} A=A_{11} A_{22} \cdots A_{N N}=\prod_{j=1}^{N} A_{j j}
$$

### 4.5 Augmented Matrix

For linear systems characterized by $A \vec{x}=\vec{b}$, where $A$ is an $M \times N$ matrix, we can construct the augmented matrix by appending the components of $\vec{b}$ as an extra column:

$$
A \left\lvert\, b=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1 N} & b_{1}  \tag{1.26}\\
A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2 N} & b_{2} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
A_{M 1} & A_{M 2} & \cdots & A_{M N} & b_{M}
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

In the general case, $\vec{b}$ can be replaced with any matrix with $M$ rows.

### 4.6 Row-Reduced Echelon Form

If (by any means) the a square matrix and a vector $\vec{x}$ can be written as

$$
I \left\lvert\, x=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{1}  \tag{1.27}\\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & x_{2} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & x_{N}
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

this is called the row-reduced echelon form.

## 5 Elimination

### 5.1 Linear Systems

Consider an $N$-dimensional linear system $A \vec{x}=\vec{b}$, represented by the $M=N$-case of (1.5):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{11} x_{1}+A_{12} x_{2}+A_{13} x_{3}+\cdots+A_{1 N} x_{N}=b_{1} \\
& A_{21} x_{1}+A_{22} x_{2}+A_{23} x_{3}+\cdots+A_{2 N} x_{N}=b_{2} \\
& A_{31} x_{1}+A_{32} x_{2}+A_{33} x_{3}+\cdots+A_{3 N} x_{N}=b_{3} \\
& \cdots \\
& A_{N 1} x_{1}+A_{N 2} x_{2}+A_{N 3} x_{3}+\cdots+A_{N N} x_{N}=b_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently, the above is represented by an augmented matrix $A \mid b$ of the form 1.26 . Next, imagine having done all of the hard work to solve the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+0+0+\cdots+0 & =x_{1} \\
0+x_{2}+0+\cdots+0 & =x_{2} \\
0+0+x_{3}+\cdots+0 & =x_{3} \\
& \cdots \\
0+0+0+\cdots+x_{N} & =x_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

which appears like a tautological thing to write, but is in fact the row-reduced echelon form, $I \mid x$ cataloged as equation 1.27 ). Written this way, the solutions $x_{j}$ to the system are readily exportable as the right side of each equation.

The natural question is, how can we start with $A \mid b$ and somehow end up with with $I \mid x$ using matrix trickery? The answer is called elimination, which is a sequence of row operations $E, M, R$ that we carry out on the augmented matrix $A \mid b$ to bring it the form $I \mid x$. Representing the exact sequence of row operations as one 'operator' $\tilde{O}(E, M, R)$ or simply $\tilde{O}$, one writes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{O}(A \mid b)=I \mid x \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

One may think of $\tilde{O}$ as a sequential list of procedures to carry out on $A \mid b$, much as a program receives input and returns output.

## Example

Consider a linear system represented by the augmented matrix

$$
A \left\lvert\, b=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\
2 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
4 & 0 & 5 & 2
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

Denoting the rows of $A \mid b$ as $R_{j}$, the first three operations may go as follows: (i) Subtract $2 R_{1}$ from $R_{2}$. (ii) Subtract $4 R_{1}$ from $R_{3}$. (iii) Add $4 R_{2}$ to $R_{3}$.

$$
A \left\lvert\, b \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{i})}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\
0 & 1 & 3 & -2 \\
4 & 0 & 5 & 2
\end{array}\right] \xrightarrow{\text { (ii) }}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\
0 & 1 & 3 & -2 \\
0 & -4 & 1 & -18
\end{array}\right] \xrightarrow{\text { (iii) }}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\
0 & 1 & 3 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 13 & -26
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

Note the new matrix has zeros down and left of the diagonal, i.e. upper triangular form. Don't stop here though: (iv) Divide $R_{3}$ by 13 and subtract $3 R_{3}$ from $R_{2}$. (v) Subtract $R_{3}$ from $R_{1}$. (vi) Subtract $R_{2}$ from $R_{1}$.

$$
\left.\xrightarrow{\text { (iv) }}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -2
\end{array}\right] \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{v})}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 7 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -2
\end{array}\right] \xrightarrow{(\text { vi })}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -2
\end{array}\right]=I \right\rvert\, x
$$

Elimination halts when the 'matrix part' of the above reduces to the identity. Reading off the righthand column, we see the solution to the system of equations is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=3 \\
& x_{2}=4 \\
& x_{3}=-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Reconciling what just happened with equation (1.28), we see the operator $\tilde{O}$ is comprised of steps (i)-(vi), each being one particular $E, M, R$ operation.

### 5.2 Matrix Inverse

Looking again at Equation 1.28, note that the sequence of row operations $\tilde{O}$ applies to $A$ and $\vec{b}$ sepa-
rately:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{O} A & =I \\
\tilde{O} \vec{b} & =\vec{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

While $\tilde{O}$ is not established as a matrix, it does precisely same job as $A^{-1}$, and must contain the same information as $A^{-1}$. As a point of comparison, note the similarity between the above versus familiar relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{-1} A & =I \\
A^{-1} \vec{b} & =\vec{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Going with the hunch that $\tilde{O}$ can be treated as an operator that obeys the associativity rule of matrix multiplication, we would be able to do the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{O} A & =I \\
(\tilde{O} A) A^{-1} & =I A^{-1} \\
\tilde{O}\left(A A^{-1}\right) & =A^{-1} \\
\tilde{O} I & =A^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Once again, we see the sequence $\tilde{O}$ is doing the same job as $A^{-1}$. Rounding up the circumstancial evidence, we see the set of steps $\tilde{O}$ that carries $A \rightarrow I$ is the same set of steps that carries $I \rightarrow A^{-1}$. In the language of augmented matrices, this is summarized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{O}(A \mid I)=I \mid A^{-1} \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This conspiracy of mathematics is otherwise known as Gauss-Jordan elimination.

## Two Dimensions

Demonstrating on a $2 \times 2$ matrix, begin with $A \mid I$ as

$$
A \left\lvert\, I=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & 1 & 0 \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

and perform row operations until form $I \mid A^{-1}$ is attained. In brief detail, the augmented matrix develops as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \left\lvert\, I \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{12} A_{21}-A_{22} A_{11} & 0 & -A_{22} & A_{21} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right. \\
& A \left\lvert\, I \rightarrow \frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & -A_{22} & A_{21} \\
0 & 1 & -A_{21} & A_{11}
\end{array}\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The final result is none other than 1.18), the formula for the inverse of a $2 \times 2$ square matrix:

$$
A^{-1}=\frac{1}{A_{11} A_{22}-A_{12} A_{21}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{22} & -A_{12} \\
-A_{21} & A_{11}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## 6 Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues

An important situation that arises in mathematics and physics is the so-called eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \vec{u}=\lambda \vec{u} \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $A$ is taken to be square and $N$ dimensional. The vectors $\vec{u}^{(j)}$ that satisfy 1.30 are called eigenvectors, and the corresponding scalar $\lambda^{(j)}$ is called an eigenvalue.

### 6.1 Calculating Eigenvalues

The eivenvalue problem 1.30 can be equivalently framed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-\lambda I) \vec{u}=0 \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix to match the dimension of $A$.

## Two Dimensions

Taking a two-dimensional case as an example, we have

$$
A-\lambda I=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11}-\lambda & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}-\lambda
\end{array}\right]
$$

which, as a set of equations, looks like

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{11}-\lambda\right) x_{1}=-A_{12} x_{2} \\
& \left(A_{22}-\lambda\right) x_{2}=-A_{12} x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiply the pair of equations and cancel the product $x_{1} x_{2}$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{11}-\lambda\right)\left(A_{22}-\lambda\right)-A_{12} A_{21}=0 \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only unknown in the equation is $\lambda$, which can be isolated using the quadratic formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{ \pm}=\frac{A_{11}+A_{22}}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(A_{11}-A_{22}\right)^{2}+4 A_{12} A_{21}} \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note there are two solutions for $\lambda$, which we label $\lambda_{+}$, and $\lambda_{-}$, respectively.

### 6.2 Characteristic Equation

When confronted with the eigenvalue problem (1.31), the first order of business, usually, is to calculate the eigenvalues $\lambda$. As we've seen for the two-dimensional case, this process boiled down to equation 1.32 . Pausing on this result for a moment, note that a quicker way to get there is to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(A-\lambda I)=0 \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in fact true in any number of dimensions. Equation 1.34 is called the characteristic equation of the system.

## Characteristic Polynomial

The characteristic equation always 'simplifies' to the characteristic polynomial, a single equation embed$\operatorname{ding} \lambda$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}(\lambda)=C_{0}+C_{1} \lambda+C_{2} \lambda^{2}+\cdots+C_{N} \lambda^{N}=0 \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic polynomial is suggestive of the fundamental theorem of algebra, stating that there are exactly $N$ (complex) roots of a polynomial of degree $N$.

### 6.3 Calculating Eigenvectors

Once the eigenvalues $\lambda$ are known, the components of each eigenvector $\vec{u}$ are readily calculated directly from

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \vec{u}^{(j)} & =\lambda_{j} \vec{u}^{(j)} \\
j & =1,2,3, \ldots, N .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Two Dimensions

Developing the eigenvalue problem in two dimensions, there are two eigenvalues $\lambda_{ \pm}$given by (1.33), and let us label the two corresponding eigenvectors $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \vec{u}=\lambda_{+} \vec{u} \\
& A \vec{v}=\lambda_{-} \vec{v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Working with the left equation first, it expands into two equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{11} u_{1}+A_{12} u_{2}=\lambda_{+} u_{1} \\
& A_{12} u_{1}+A_{22} u_{2}=\lambda_{+} u_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives us two ways to solve for the ratio $u_{1} / u_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{u_{1}}{u_{2}}=\frac{-A_{12}}{A_{11}-\lambda_{+}}  \tag{1.36}\\
& \frac{u_{1}}{u_{2}}=\frac{-\left(A_{22}-\lambda_{+}\right)}{A_{21}}
\end{align*}
$$

As a sanity check, we may eliminate the ratio $u_{1} / u_{2}$ and recover the characteristic equation 1.32 . A similar set of steps isolates the ratio $v_{1} / v_{2}$ for the second eigenvalue/eigenvector

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{v_{1}}{v_{2}}=\frac{-A_{12}}{A_{11}-\lambda_{-}}  \tag{1.37}\\
& \frac{v_{1}}{v_{2}}=\frac{-\left(A_{22}-\lambda_{-}\right)}{A_{21}}, \tag{1.38}
\end{align*}
$$

which also combine to reproduce the characteristic equation, so we're on the right track.

## Symmetric Matrix

Suppose the matrix $A$ is given as

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
b & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

The eigenvalues of $A$ are given by 1.33 , and simplify very nicely:

$$
\lambda_{ \pm}=a \pm b
$$

Denoting the respective eigenvectors $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$, we apply 1.36) directly to find

$$
\frac{u_{1}}{u_{2}}=\frac{-b}{-b}=1
$$

Meanwhile, 1.37 similarly tells us

$$
\frac{v_{1}}{v_{2}}=\frac{-b}{b}=-1
$$

and we're done. Evidently, the two eigenvectors are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{u} & =\langle 1,1\rangle \\
\vec{v} & =\langle 1,-1\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

or in normalized form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{u}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\langle 1,1\rangle \\
& \hat{v}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\langle 1,-1\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hermitian Matrix

For the Hermitian matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & -i b \\
i b & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

the characteristic equation is

$$
(a-\lambda)+i^{2} b^{2}=0
$$

or

$$
\lambda_{ \pm}=a \mp b
$$

Despite having complex components, the eigenvalues are real-valued.

## Complex Eigenvalues

Modifying the above example, consider

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
-b & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

Following the same steps, we find the eigenvalues to be complex:

$$
\lambda_{ \pm}=a \pm i b
$$

This is no hindrance, however. The complexity passes to the eigenvectors, which turn out to be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{u}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\langle 1, i\rangle \\
& \hat{v}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\langle 1,-i\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7 Diagonalization

For the eigenvalue problem 1.30

$$
A \vec{u}=\lambda \vec{u}
$$

of dimension $N$, suppose we already have the list of $N$ eigenvalues $\lambda$ and $N$ eigenvectors $\vec{u}$.

### 7.1 Modal Matrix

It's instructive to condense all of the eigenvector information into a new object called the modal matrix, denoted $C$, whose $j$ th column is comprised of the components of the $j$ th eigenvector:

$$
\begin{align*}
C & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1}^{(1)} & u_{1}^{(2)} & \cdots & u_{1}^{(N)} \\
u_{2}^{(1)} & u_{2}^{(2)} & \cdots & u_{2}^{(N)} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
u_{N}^{(1)} & u_{N}^{(2)} & \cdots & u_{N}^{(N)}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{1.39}\\
& =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\vec{u}^{(1)} & \vec{u}^{(2)} & \cdots & \vec{u}^{(N)}
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the matrix product $A C$ can be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
A C & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\lambda_{1} \vec{u}^{(1)} & \lambda_{2} \vec{u}^{(2)} & \cdots & \lambda_{N} \vec{u}^{(N)}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{1} u_{1}^{(1)} & \lambda_{2} u_{1}^{(2)} & \cdots & \lambda_{N} u_{1}^{(N)} \\
\lambda_{1} u_{2}^{(1)} & \lambda_{2} u_{2}^{(2)} & \cdots & \lambda_{N} u_{2}^{(N)} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\lambda_{1} u_{N}^{(1)} & \lambda_{2} u_{N}^{(2)} & \cdots & \lambda_{N} u_{N}^{(N)}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.2 Diagonal Matrix

The product $A C$, especially in matrix form, looks like the product of $C$ with another, much simpler matrix. Consider a diagonal matrix $\Lambda$ (Greek uppercase lambda) whose off-diagonal entries are all zero, and the eigenvalues occupy the diagonal:

$$
\Lambda=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{1.40}\\
0 & \lambda_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Indeed, the right result of $A C$ is reproduced by the product $C \Lambda$, meaning the matrix products are equal:

$$
A C=C \Lambda
$$

Supposing the inverse of $C$ can be attained, the diagonal matrix $\Lambda$ can be isolated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=C^{-1} A C \tag{1.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The process of attaining $\Lambda$ is called the diagonalization of the matrix $A$. If the columns of $C$ happen to form an orthonormal basis, the inverse matrix $C^{-1}$ may be replaced with its transpose $C^{T}$.

### 7.3 Eigenvectors as a Basis

It is no coincidence that a system of $N$ dimensions has $N$ eigenvectors. It makes sense to wonder if an arbitrary linear combination can be expressed via the change-of-basis formula for vectors.

$$
\vec{V}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} V_{j} \hat{e}_{j} \xrightarrow{?}(\vec{V})^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} V_{j}^{\prime} \hat{u}^{(j)}
$$

In the above, the eigenvectors are assumed to be normalized (unit magnitude), which is always possible for nonzero vectors. However, we are not to assume that the eigenvectors $\left\{\hat{u}^{(j)}\right\}$ form an orthogonal basis. That is, it's not always the case that any two eigenvectors are orthogonal.

## Hermitian Matrix

Consider two solutions to the eigenvalue problem (1.30),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \vec{u}^{(j)}=\lambda_{j} \vec{u}^{(j)} \\
& A \vec{u}^{(k)}=\lambda_{k} \vec{u}^{(k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and multiply $\vec{u}^{(k)}, \vec{u}^{(j)}$, onto the left and right sides respectively into each:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{u}^{(k)} \cdot A \vec{u}^{(j)} & =\lambda_{j} \vec{u}^{(k)} \cdot \vec{u}^{(j)} \\
A \vec{u}^{(k)} \cdot \vec{u}^{(j)} & =\lambda_{k} \vec{u}^{(k)} \cdot \vec{u}^{(j)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Looking at the left side of each equation, it appears as if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{u}^{(k)} \cdot A \vec{u}^{(j)}=A \vec{u}^{(k)} \cdot \vec{u}^{(j)} \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

wants to be true, but simply isn't in the general case. The special that satisfies 1.42 is called a Hermitian matrix.

## Non-equal Eigenvalues

Pursuing the case where $A$ is Hermitian, the above condenses to:

$$
\lambda_{j} \vec{u}^{(k)} \cdot \vec{u}^{(j)}=\lambda_{k} \vec{u}^{(k)} \cdot \vec{u}^{(j)}
$$

Now, if we assume that $\lambda_{j} \neq \lambda_{k}$, the only way to reconcile this result is that non-equal eigenvectors of a Hermitian matrix are orthogonal:

$$
\vec{u}^{(k)} \cdot \vec{u}^{(j)}=0
$$

Just as importantly, this reinforces that the eigenvectors of a non-Hermitian matrix may not be orthogonal.

## Equal Eigenvalues

If $m$ of the $N$ eigenvalues are equal, one speaks of $m$-fold degeneracy. In this case, the corresponding eigenvectors form a vector subspace of the original vector space that might admit its own orthonormal basis.

## 8 Degenerate Systems

Concerning the eigenvalue problem 1.30

$$
A \vec{u}=\lambda \vec{u}
$$

it could turn out that two eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}, \lambda_{k}$ are equal, in which case we may be able to construct $N$ unique eigenvectors $\vec{u}^{(j)}$, but not always. Specifically, for each repeated eigenvalue $\lambda_{j}$ of multiplicity $m_{j}$, there must be $m_{j}$ linearly independent eigenvectors. The ability to successfully do this depends on the system on hand.

### 8.1 Dead-end Case

Consider the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-2 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2
\end{array}\right]
$$

having a characteristic polynomial

$$
(-2-\lambda)(1-\lambda)(-2-\lambda)=0
$$

Evidently we find three eigenvalues, with two identical:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=1 \\
& \lambda_{2}=-2 \\
& \lambda_{3}=-2
\end{aligned}
$$

Handling the easy case first, the eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$ is calculated from $A \vec{u}=1 \vec{u}$, resulting in

$$
\vec{u}^{(1)}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proceeding to the repeated eigenvalue case, we solve $A \vec{u}=-2 \vec{u}$ to get a single eigenvector

$$
\vec{u}^{(2,3)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-3 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that $\vec{u}^{(1)}$ is linearly independent from $\vec{u}^{(2,3)}$, but not orthogonal. Since there is no obvious way to 'peel apart' the eigenvectors $\vec{u}^{(2,3)}$, the show stops here. The matrix $A$ cannot be diagonalized.

### 8.2 Salvageable Case

Consider the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
5 & -4 & 4 \\
12 & -11 & 12 \\
4 & -4 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

having a characteristic polynomial

$$
0=\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}-5 \lambda+3
$$

which factors into

$$
0=(\lambda-1)(\lambda-1)(\lambda+3) .
$$

We have three eigenvalues, with two identical:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=1 \\
& \lambda_{2}=1 \\
& \lambda_{3}=-3
\end{aligned}
$$

Handling the easy case first, the eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{3}$ is calculated from $A \vec{u}=-3 \vec{u}$, leading to the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 u_{1}-u_{2}+u_{3} & =0 \\
u_{1}-u_{2}+2 u_{3} & =0 \\
3 u_{1}-2 u_{2}+3 u_{3} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

telling us the corresponding eigenvector is

$$
\vec{u}^{(3)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{11}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
3 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

or any multiple.
Proceeding to the repeated eigenvalue case, we solve $A \vec{u}=\vec{u}$ to generate three copies of

$$
u_{1}-u_{2}+u_{3}=0
$$

With one equation and three unknowns, we may choose any two values to be arbitrary. For instance, we may choose $u_{1}=1$ with $u_{2}=0$, causing $u_{3}=-1$.

We then construct an eigenvector from these numbers:

$$
\vec{u}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

On the other hand, we may choose $u_{1}=0, u_{2}=1$, causing $u_{3}=1$, to create another eigenvector, linearly independent from the others:

$$
\vec{u}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

With three eigenvectors in hand, a modal matrix can be defined such that

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 3 \\
-1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

allowing the matrix $A$ to be diagonalized using $\Lambda=$ $C^{-1} A C$.

### 8.3 Normalizable Case

Consider the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\
0 & 2 & 0 \\
\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

having a characteristic polynomial

$$
0=(2-\lambda)\left(-\lambda^{2}+\lambda+2\right)
$$

indicating three eigenvalues, with two identical:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1} & =2 \\
\lambda_{2} & =2 \\
\lambda_{3} & =-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Handling the easy case first, the eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{3}$ is calculated from $A \vec{x}=-\vec{x}$, leading to

$$
\vec{u}^{(3)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
-\sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proceeding to the repeated eigenvalue case, we solve $A \vec{u}=2 \vec{u}$ to get a single eigenvector

$$
\vec{u}^{(1,2)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \alpha^{2} / 2+\beta^{2}}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \\
\beta \\
\alpha / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for two arbitrary constants $\alpha, \beta$. The aim here is to tease two mutually orthogonal eigenvectors from the above, which means to require

$$
\vec{u}^{(1)} \cdot \vec{u}^{(2)}=0 .
$$

This amounts to finding pairs of $\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}$ that satisfy

$$
\frac{3}{2} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{1} \beta_{2}=0
$$

Choosing $\alpha_{1}=0$ begins a fast avalanche that requires $\beta_{1}=1$, and also $\beta_{2}=0$, with $\alpha_{2}$ remaining arbitrary. The remaining eigenvectors therefore read

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{u}^{(1)} & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
\vec{u}^{(2)} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{3 / 2}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
1 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{2 / 3} \\
0 \\
1 / \sqrt{3}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

With three eigenvectors in hand, a modal matrix can be defined such that

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & \sqrt{2 / 3} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\sqrt{2} & 0 & 1 / \sqrt{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

allowing the matrix $A$ to be diagonalized via $\Lambda=$ $C^{-1} A C$. However, since the set of eigenvectors $\left\{\vec{u}^{(j)}\right\}$ form an orthonormal basis, we may further simplify the above using $C^{-1}=C^{T}$.

